



# ASSURANCE STATEMENT

## SGS TAIWAN LTD.'S REPORT ON SUSTAINABILITY ACTIVITIES IN THE KING YUAN FU PACKAGING CO., LTD.'S CORPORATE SUSTAINABILITY REPORT FOR 2021

### NATURE AND SCOPE OF THE ASSURANCE/VERIFICATION

SGS Taiwan Ltd. (hereinafter referred to as SGS) was commissioned by KING YUAN FU PACKAGING CO., LTD. (hereinafter referred to as KYF) to conduct an independent assurance of the Corporate Sustainability Report for 2021 (hereinafter referred to as the CS Report). The scope of the assurance, based on the SGS Sustainability Report Assurance methodology, included the sampled text, and data in accompanying tables, contained in the report presented during verification. SGS reserves the right to update the assurance statement from time to time depending on the level of report content discrepancy of the published version from the agreed standards requirements.

### INTENDED USERS OF THIS ASSURANCE STATEMENT

This Assurance Statement is provided with the intention of informing all KYF's Stakeholders.

### RESPONSIBILITIES

The information in the KYF's Corporate Sustainability Report of 2021 and its presentation are the responsibility of the directors or governing body (as applicable) and management of KYF. SGS has not been involved in the preparation of any of the material included in the CS Report.

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the report content within the scope of verification with the intention to inform all KYF's stakeholders.

### ASSURANCE STANDARDS, TYPE AND LEVEL OF ASSURANCE

The SGS ESG & Sustainability Report Assurance protocols used to conduct assurance are based upon internationally recognized assurance guidance, including the Principles contained within the Global Reporting Initiative Sustainability Reporting Standards (GRI Standards) 101: Foundation 2016 for report quality, and the guidance on levels of assurance contained within the AA1000 series of standards and guidance for Assurance Providers.

The assurance of this report has been conducted according to the following Assurance Standards:

#### Assurance Standard Options and Level of Assurance

A.	SGS ESG & SRA Assurance Protocols (based on GRI Principles and guidance in AA1000)
B.	AA1000ASv3 Type 1 Moderate Level (AA1000AP Evaluation only)

## SCOPE OF ASSURANCE AND REPORTING CRITERIA

The scope of the assurance included evaluation of quality, accuracy and reliability of specified performance information as detailed below and evaluation of adherence to the following reporting criteria:

Reporting Criteria Options	
1.	With Reference to GRI Universal Standard (2021)
2.	AA1000 Accountability Principles (2018)

- AA1000 Assurance Standard v3 Type 1 evaluation of the report content and supporting management systems against the AA1000 Accountability Principles (2018) at a moderate level of scrutiny; and
- evaluation of the report against the requirements of Global Reporting Initiative Universal Standards (2021) listed in the GRI content index where the organization has referenced for the preparation of the reported information.

## ASSURANCE METHODOLOGY

The assurance comprised a combination of pre-assurance research, interviews with relevant employees, superintendents, Corporate Sustainability committee members and the senior management in Taiwan; documentation and record review and validation with external bodies and/or stakeholders where relevant. In response to COVID-19 pandemic situation the assurance process was conducted via Microsoft Teams and Google Meet.

## LIMITATIONS AND MITIGATION

Financial data drawn directly from independently audited financial accounts and SASB related disclosures have not been checked back to source as part of this assurance process.

## STATEMENT OF INDEPENDENCE AND COMPETENCE

The SGS Group of companies is the world leader in inspection, testing and verification, operating in more than 140 countries and providing services including management systems and service certification; quality, environmental, social and ethical auditing and training; environmental, social and sustainability report assurance. SGS affirm our independence from KYF, being free from bias and conflicts of interest with the organisation, its subsidiaries and stakeholders.

The assurance team was assembled based on their knowledge, experience and qualifications for this assignment, and comprised auditors registered with ISO 26000, ISO 20121, ISO 50001, SA8000, RBA, QMS, EMS, SMS, GPMS, CFP, WFP, GHG Verification and GHG Validation Lead Auditors and experience on the SRA Assurance service provisions.

## FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

### VERIFICATION/ ASSURANCE OPINION

On the basis of the methodology described and the verification work performed, we are satisfied that the specified performance information included in the scope of assurance is accurate, reliable, has been fairly stated and has been prepared, in all material respects, in accordance with the reporting criteria.

We believe that the organisation has chosen an appropriate level of assurance for this stage in their reporting.

## AA1000 ACCOUNTABILITY PRINCIPLES (2018) CONCLUSIONS, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### **Inclusivity**

KYF has demonstrated its commitment to stakeholder inclusivity and stakeholder engagement. A variety of engagement efforts such as survey and communication to employees, customers, investors, suppliers, communities, and other stakeholders are implemented to underpin the organization's understanding of stakeholder concerns.

### **Materiality**

KYF has established effective processes for determining issues that are material to the business. Formal review has identified stakeholders and those issues that are material to each group and the report addresses these at an appropriate level to reflect their importance and priority to these stakeholders.

### **Responsiveness**

The report includes coverage given to stakeholder engagement and channels for stakeholder feedback.

### **Impact**

KYF has demonstrated a process on identify impacts that encompass a range of environmental, social and governance topics from wide range of sources, such as activities, policies, programs, decisions and products and services, as well as any related performance. Integration of the identified impacts into key management processes, such as the materiality assessment process and organisational strategy, governance, goal-setting and operations, may be considered in the future.

## GLOBAL REPORTING INITIATIVE REPORTING UNIVERSAL STANDARD (2021) CONCLUSIONS, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The report, KYF's CS Report of 2021, complies with the Requirements for reporting with reference to the GRI Standards set out in Section 3 of GRI 1. The significant impacts are assessed and disclosed with reference to the guidance defined in GRI 3: Material Topic 2021. The report has properly disclosed information related to KYF's contributions to sustainability development. For future reporting, KYF is encouraged to prepare for the transition to reporting in accordance with the GRI Standards, with more comprehensive details of its management processes on the identified impacts on the economy, environment, and people, including impacts on their human rights.

Signed:

For and on behalf of SGS Taiwan Ltd.



**Stephen Pao**  
Knowledge Deputy General Manager  
Taipei, Taiwan  
29 July, 2022  
[WWW.SGS.COM](http://WWW.SGS.COM)



**AA1000**  
Licensed Report  
000-8/V3-WG704